UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,727	07/17/2003	Richard P. Wool	00131-00339-US	1581
	7590 04/06/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	1713	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/621,727	WOOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William K. Cheung	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ma	arch 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,11-14,16-21 and 23-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,11-14,16-21 and 23-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

- The request filed on December 21, 2006 for a Request for Continued
 Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/621,727
 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. In view of the amendment filed March 21, 2007, claims 28-29 have been added. Claims 1-4, 6, 11-14, 16-21, 23-29 are pending.
- 3. In view of the terminal disclaimer filed December 5, 2006, the rejection of Claims 1-4, 6, 11-14, 16-21, 23-27 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,121,398, is withdrawn.
- 4. In view of typographical error, the rejection of Claims 1-4, 6, 11-14, 16-21, 23-27 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wool et al. (US 6,121,398) is withdrawn because it should have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wool et al. (US 6,121,398). Nevertheless, the typographical error did not change the argument filed by applicants on November 27, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,727

Art Unit: 1713

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,727 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

7. Claims 1-4, 6, 11-14, 16-21, 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wool et al. (US 6,121,398).

The invention of claims 1-4, 6, 11-14, 16-21, 23-29 relates to a **low dielectric** constant material comprising

- (a) a plant oil with an unsaturation.
- (b) a comonomer and
- (c) animal feathers wherein said feathers are feather mats

 and said feathers are present in an amount of at least 10% by weight in the material.

Wool et al. (col. 21-22, claims 1, 3, 18) disclose a resin system comprising styrene (col. 21, claim 1), soy bean oil (col. 21, claim 3), and bird feathers (col. 22, claim 18). Wool et al. (Figure 1B; col. 2, line 41-49) clearly disclose that the soy bean oil employed is an acrylated epoxidized triglyceride soy bean oil. Although Wool et al. are silent that the disclosed bird feathers are feather mats, in view of that feathers inherently possess barbules that are organized and are easily stacked together into interlocking form, the examiner has a reasonable basis that the claimed "feather mats" feature is inherently possessed in Wool et al. Since the PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show

Application/Control Number: 10/621,727 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

otherwise. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the claimed invention has "unexpected superior" properties when the amount of feature is at least 10 weight percent. However, because Wool et al. (col. 22, claim 18) in the claim does not limit the amount of feather to be incorporated, the claim is broadly interpreted to be at any amount, which fully encompass the claimed "at least 10% by weight" and the amount of new claims 28-29 as claimed.

Regarding applicants argument on the "unexpected results" in tan delta when at least 10% of feather is incorporated into the material, applicants must recognize that "unexpected results" must be obtained by comparing the low dielectric constant material of the claimed invention with the low dielectric constant material of Wool et al. in order to be valid.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/621,727

Art Unit: 1713

272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to

Page 6

2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. I

Primary Examiner

August 20, 2006

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER